### 2ac – AT: Domestic Strikes Shift

Chehab, Georgetown Law Center, 2012

[Ahmad, 3-30-12, “Retrieving the Role of Accountability in the Targeted Killings Context: A Proposal for Judicial Review” http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract\_id=2031572, p.30, accessed 9-15-13, TAP]

Although not a required form of analysis, these factors nonetheless suggest a rigorous review

AND

of American efforts to target alleged terrorists and reduce likelihood of collateral damage.

#### No motive for domestic strikes.

Reilly, Huffington Post, 3-5-13

[Ryan, “Eric Holder: Drone Strike To Kill U.S. Citizen On American Soil Legal, Hypothetically” <http://www.huffingtonpost.com/2013/03/05/us-drone-strike_n_2813857.html>, accessed 10-6-13, TAP]

The Obama administration believes it could technically use military force to kill an American on

AND

the president to order such an attack under certain circumstances, Holder said.

#### Obama also doesn’t have the authority.

Somin, Volokh Conspiracy, 3-7-13

[Ilya, “Attorney General Eric Holder Responds to Rand Paul’s Question on Domestic Use of Drones Against US Citizens” [http://www.volokh.com/2013/03/07/attorney-general-eric-holder-responds-to-rand-pauls-question-on-domestic-use-of-drones-against-us-citizens/, a](http://www.volokh.com/2013/03/07/attorney-general-eric-holder-responds-to-rand-pauls-question-on-domestic-use-of-drones-against-us-citizens/,%20a)ccessed 10-6-13, TAP]

Attorney General Eric Holder has sent the following response to Republican Senator Rand Paul’s question

AND

in combat on American soil?” The answer to that question is no.

### 2ac – Security K – AT: China Reps

#### Perm solves – rigid rejection of “China threat” gets warped into a new orthodoxy and fuels extremism. Recognizing plural interpretations and linkages is more productive.

Callahan, University of Manchester politics professor, 2005

[William, Review of International Studies / Volume 31 / Issue 04 / October 2005, “How to understand China: the dangers and opportunities of being a rising power” Cambridge Journals Online, p.711-2, accessed 9-30-13, TAP]

Although ‘China threat theory’ is ascribed to the Cold War thinking of foreigners who

AND

more interesting to examine the debates that produced the threat/opportunity dynamic.

### 2ac – Structural Violence

#### 5. Case turns the K, not the other way around

Joshua Goldstein, American University International Relations Professor, 2001, “War and Gender: How Gender Shapes the War System and Vice Versa,” p.411-412

I began this book hoping to contribute in some way to a deeper understanding of

AND

on injustice as the main cause of war seems to be empirically inadequate.

#### 6. Structural violence doesn’t escalate – prefer proximate causes

Hinde and Pulkkinnen, Cambridge psychology professor and University of Jyväskylä psychology professor, 2000

[Robert and Lea,  [DRAFT Background Paper for Working Group 1: HUMAN AGGRESSIVENESS AND WAR, 50th Pugwash Conference On Science and World Affairs: "Eliminating the Causes of War" Queens' College, Cambridge , UK, 3-8 August <http://www.pugwash.org/reports/pac/pac256/WG1draft1.htm>](http://www.pugwash.org/reports/pac/pac256/WG1draft1.htm)]

People are capable of perpetrating the most terrible acts of violence on their fellows.

AND

multiple causes, and the interactions between the causal factors remain largely unexplored.

#### Our use of nuclear fear and discourse is necessary to check extinction- it provides the consciousness to ensure peace in the face of nuclear war and worse

JAH Futterman, former US Nuclear Weapons Scientist, 1994

[“Obscenity and Peace: Meditations on the Morality of Nuclear Weapons Work,” <http://www.dogchurch.org/scriptorium/nuke.html> ]

But the inhibitory effect of reliable nuclear weapons goes deeper than Shirer's deterrence of adventurer

AND

peace movement with a needed sense of urgency that it might otherwise lack.

### 2ac – Wilderson

#### C. Clash key to critical thinking – solves oppression.

Lundberg, University of North Carolina Chapel Hill communications professor, 2010

[Christian, Navigating Opportunity: Policy Debate in the 21st Century by Allan Louden, “Tradition of Debate in North Carolina” <http://books.google.com/books?id=ntHxX_9J7gYC&printsec=frontcover#v=onepage&q=complex%20world&f=false>, p.311-3, accessed 8-8-12, TAP]

The second major problem with the critique that identifies a naivety in articulating debate and

AND

their time and political energies toward policies that matter the most to them.

The merits of debate as a tool for building democratic capacity-building take on

AND

concrete work to realize and expanded commitment to debate at colleges and universities.

#### 5. Good is good enough – method focus never results in action.

Fearon, Stanford Political Science Professor, and Wendt, Ohio State IR Professor, 2002

[James and Alexander, Handbook of International Relations, p. 68]

It should be stressed that in advocating a pragmatic view we are not endorsing method

AND

, but we certainly believe a conversation should continue on all three levels.

#### 6. The absolutism of their alternative results in tunnel vision – evaluate consequences.

Isaac, Indiana University James H. Rudy Professor of Political Science and Center for the Study of Democracy and Public Life director, Spring 2002

(Jeffrey C. “Ends, Means, and Politics,” Dissent Magazine Vol. 49 Issue 2, p32)

Power is not a dirty word or an unfortunate feature of the world. It

AND

not true believers. It promotes arrogance. And it undermines political effectiveness.

#### 7. Future oriented politics are critical to end oppressive policies and create a better future

Kurasawa, York University Assistant Sociology Professor and Yale University Cultural Sociology Center Associate Faculty, December 2004,

(Fuyuki, “Cautionary Tales: The Global Culture of Prevention and the Work of Foresight,” Volume 11 Issue 4 Page 453-475)

None of this is to disavow the international community’s rather patchy record of avoiding foreseeable

AND

public spaces; prevention is a public practice, and a public responsibility.

To believe otherwise is, I would argue, to leave the path clear for

AND

must be made, starting with us, in the here and now.

#### Constructing prior questions doesn’t disprove the aff – it results in generalizations and inaction.

Owen, University of Southampton political theory professor, 2002

[David, Millennium Journal of International Studies, Vol 31 No 3, “Re-orienting International Relations: On Pragmatism, Pluralism, and Practical Reason” Sagepub, accessed 9-30-13, TAP]

Commenting on the ‘philosophical turn’ in IR, Wæver remarks that ‘[a]¶

AND

helped to promote the IR theory wars by motivating this¶ philosophical turn.

The first danger with the philosophical turn is that it has an inbuilt tendency to

AND

it¶ is not the only or even necessarily the most important kind.

The second danger run by the philosophical turn is that because prioritisation of ontology and

AND

the promotion of the pursuit of generality over that of empirical¶ validity.

The third danger is that the preceding two combine to encourage the¶ formation of

AND

first¶ and second dangers, and so a potentially vicious circle arises.

#### Best evidence says modernity solves violence

Pinker, psychology professor at Harvard University, ‘12

[Steven, Current History, Jan, Ebsco EJS]

The decline of violence may be the most significant and least appreciated development in the

AND

and knowledge, we can add its role in the reduction of violence.

9. Permutation – endorse the strategy of the aff as well as the strategy of the neg – solves all their offense – any risk that the aff strategy is good is a net benefit.

#### Their steadfast opposition to [x] fosters a culture of radical skepticism that makes any change impossible – the perm is the best middle ground

Berman, University of Connecticut Law School Associate Professor, 2001

[Paul Schiff, “APPROACHES TO THE CULTURAL STUDY OF LAW: TELLING A LESS SUSPICIOUS STORY: NOTES TOWARD A NON-SKEPTICAL APPROACH TO LEGAL/CULTURAL ANALYSIS,” 13 Yale J.L. & Human. 95, Lexis]

The second drawback of the hermeneutics of suspicion is perhaps even more important. As

AND

normative scholarship cannot extricate scholars from the fundamental questions that I am discussing.

#### They’re wrong about ontological freedom

Macedo, University of Massachusetts Boston Applied Linguistics Master of Arts program graduate program director, 2000

[Donaldo, writes the new introduction to the anniversary edition to *Pedagogy of the Oppressed*, Pedagogy of the Oppressed, p.32-3, TAP]

Freire is able to do this because he operates on one basic assumption: that

AND

right to say his or her own word, to name the world.

[We don’t endorse any gender language within this card]

#### Their ontological framing of blackness dooms the aff. Placing Blackness as oppositional denies it any existence independent of white supremacy and makes identity reliant on oppression

Pinn, Macalester College Professor of Religious Studies, 4

(Anthony, Dialog: A Journal of Theology, Volume 43, Number 1, Spring 2004, '‘‘Black Is, Black Ain’t’’: Victor Anderson, African American Theological Thought, and Identity', pg.57-58, Wiley online Library, accessed 11-10-12 TAT)

This connection between ontological blackness and¶ religion is natural because: ‘‘ontological blackness

AND

foster¶ balance while embracing difference as positive?¶ Anderson looks to Nietzsche.

#### Social death overlooks resistance in black culture

Bâ, Race and Postcolonial theorist, 11

(Saer Maty, “The US decentered: From Black Social Death to Cultural Transformation,” Cultural Studies Review 17(2), September p. 385-387, TAT)

And yet Wilderson's highlighting is problematic because it overlooks the 'Diaspora' or 'African Diaspora',

AND

? The coffle approaches with its answers in tow.' (340)

#### 1. Threshold frame – all we need to do to win a link turn is win ONE instance of the USFG doing something good. The burden for them winning this link has to be that the state is ALWAYS bad, which it isn’t

Jensen, Texas University Journalism Professor, Nowar Collective Founder, 2005

[Robert, The Heart of Whiteness, p. 91-96]

That's the question for which this country has never quite found an answer: What

AND

to civilize others. The real White People's Burden is to civilize ourselves.

#### 12. Learning policy making discourse is the only way to challenge the dogmatic assumptions of elites – their interpretation of radical acts is too rigid and absolute – using the state can solve oppression.

David E. McClean, New School University Professor, and Society for the Study of Africana Philosophy President, 2001, “The Cultural Left and the Limits of Social Hope,” http://www.american-philosophy.org/archives/past\_conference\_programs/pc2001/Discussion%20papers/david\_mcclean.htm

Yet for some reason, at least partially explicated in Richard Rorty's Achieving Our Country

AND

critics with their snobish disrespect for the so-called "managerial class."